IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

J. Randall Hall

Serial No:

10/657,890

Filed:

09/09/03



Examiner: Sara Addisu

Group Art Unit: 3722

Date:

November 10, 2005

For:

"PREPARATION AND MILLING HEAD THEREFORE"

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Sir,

The undersigned hereby certifies that the attached APPLICANT'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW was mailed to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313, with sufficient first-class postage, no special handling, on November 10, 2005, before 5:00 PM, thereby ensuring that such document(s) will be in the hands of the U.S. Postal Service by the close of business this day. Thus, timely response has been made to the outstanding Office Action prior to expiration of the shortened statutory period for the same ending 12/3/05.

No fee is deemed necessary, however the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which might be required or credit any overpayment of fees with regard to the attached document(s) to Account No. 08-3150.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA

By: Daniel J. Hudak, Jr. Registration No. 47,669

DJHjr/dp

2020 Front Street, Suite 307 Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221

Phone: (330) 535-2220

Attorney Docket No.: H&S-L

NOV 1 4 2005 W Applicants:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

J. Randall Hall

Examiner: Sara Addisu

Serial No:

10/657,890

Group Art Unit: 3722

Filed:

09/09/03

Date:

November 10, 2005

For:

"PREPARATION AND MILLING HEAD THEREFORE"

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Sir,

As required under 37 C.F.R. §1.133(b) Applicant submits a Statement of the Substance of the Interview of October 13, 2005.

During the interview, all claims of record were discussed. The prior art of record utilized by the Examiner to reject the claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and §103(a) was discussed. The general thrust of the principle arguments presented to the Examiner was substantially the same as the arguments presented in Applicant's Amendment "A" mailed to the Patent Office on August 24, 2005, which is of record in the application.

Agreement was not reached between the Examiner and Applicant, wherein the Examiner stated she would like the opportunity to further reconsider the applied prior art.

The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the courtesy of granting an interview to the Applicant's representative.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA

By: Daniel J. Hudak, Jr. Registration No. 47,669

2020 Front Street Suite 307 Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221 Phone (330) 535-2220 Attorney Docket No.: H&S-L